Photocatalytic Activity of Titanium Oxidecontaining Polymer Films.

Sungwoo Jin, Gwanghoi Koo, Hae-sung Lee, Jinho Jang

School of Advanced Materials and System Engineering,

Kumoh National Institute of Technology, Kumi, Korea

Abstract: Photocatalytic activity of PET and Nylon film containing 0.3% TiO₂ (diameter 300 nm, anatase type) were prepared by melt casting method using a heating press machine. The polymer films were irradiated with different UV energy using a UV/O₃ irradiator. Reflectance in visible region of the irradiated polymer films decreased with increasing UV irradiation and the extent of decrease was more pronounced in Nylon film compared with PET. Also the water contact angle of the polymer films decreased with UV irradiation. The TiO₂ in the polymer films enhanced reflectance decrease and hydrophilicity of PET rather than Nylon. Methylene blue solution was bleached by UV irradiation and the TiO₂ in the films helped to increase the photobleaching effect.

Introduction

When titanium dioxide is irradiated with UV light, excited electron-hole pairs result in chemical process to degrade organic compounds, particularly environmentally hazardous compounds.¹ Photocatalytic activity of oxidation of TiO₂ has being intensively studied since Ollis and Marinangelli start to investigate in late 1970s.² Band gap of TiO₂ is about 3.2eV and photocatalytic reaction proceeds by irradiating UV light of 380nm or less.³ On UV irradiation, valence electrons (e⁻) of TiO₂ are excited to conduction band producing holes(h⁺) in the valence bands. The electrons and holes migrate to the TiO₂ surface which can induce oxidation/reduction reaction or recombine to generate heat. The electrons in the conduction band can reduce oxidants such as oxygen(O₂ + H⁺ + e⁻ \rightarrow HO₂ ·) and the holes in the valence band can oxidate reductants such as water(H₂O + h⁺ \rightarrow OH · + H⁺).⁴⁻⁶ Therefore the photocatalyst can degrade many organic materials because of its higher oxidation ability compared with covalent bond energies of C-C, C-H, C-N, C-O, O-H, N-H.⁷ However the strong oxidation power can be serious durability problem when the photocatalysts are incorporated into organic matrix such as polymeric films in spite of desirable functionality of TiO₂ such as self cleaning, disinfection, anti-fogging, decodoration, etc.⁸⁻¹⁰ This study is to assess photostability and surface property of polymer films such as polyester and nylon 6 films containing

TiO₂ photocatalysts and photocatalytic degradation capability of the polymer films to methylene blue solution.

Experimental

Materials

Polymer chips(PET and Nylon 6) with and without 0.3% anatase-type TiO₂ (diameter 0.3 µm) were

used to prepare thin polymer films up to 200 µm thickness using a heating press machine under a pressure of 1200Pa.

UV/O₃ treatment

Polymer films were irradiated with a UV irradiator(UVO-cleaner, Jelight) of a output intensity of 17.2mW/cm^2 . UV energy was applied by prolonging UV irradiation time from 5.3 to 31.8 J/cm^2 for reflectance and contact angle measurement. For photobleaching experiment, UV energy up to 63.6 J/cm^2 was irradiated.

Reflectance and contact angle measurement

Reflectance of the polymer films were measured with a UV/VIS reflectance spectrophotometer (GretagMacbeth, Coloreye 3100) in the range of 360-700nm. Contact angles of the polymer films were measured by sessile drop method using a static goniometer (Phoenix300, AhTech) attached with a CCD camera. Three test liquids of deionized water, diiodomethane and glycerin were used for the contact angle measurement. Five measurements under standard condition (20, 65% RH) were averaged.

Photobleaching measurement

0.1 mL of aqueous methylene blue solution (20 mg/L) was deposited on the polymer films and the test films were covered with a polyethylene film and then a polystyrene Petri dish. The color of the methylene blue solution was measured with different irradiation time using a portable UV/VIS reflectance spectrophotometer (Minolta JP/CR-11). The color difference (ΔE) of the irradiated sample compared to the untreated was calculated from CIE Lab color system.

Results and discussion

Photostability of PET and Nylon 6 films containing TiO₂ photocatalysts

Reflectance is the light reflected of a sample relative to that of a reference white plate, which is related light. When UV irradiate on the film, PET film shows slight decrease in reflectance due to increased photodegradation as shown in *Figure* 1. The TiO₂-containing PET film has lower reflectance at lower wavelength due to light absorption of TiO₂ particles and the reflectance of the film also decreased but the extent of decrease was slightly higher than that of unfilled film with prolonged UV irradiation, indicating that the particle enhanced photodegradability of PET due to assisted photocatalytic activity. Nylon films were more susceptible to UV irradiation compared to PET (*Figure* 2). However photodegradation of TiO₂-containing Nylon films was lower than the unfilled, which was opposite photodegradation behavior compared with PET. The amount of reflectance decrease was more pronounced with unfilled Nylon film compared to TiO₂ containing film, which suggested that UV light absorption effect of TiO₂ in Nylon film surpassed the photocatalytic photodegration of the polyamide.



Figure 1 Effects of UV irradiation on the reflectance of PET and PET/TiO₂ films.



Figure 2 Effects of UV irradiation on the reflectance of Nylon and Nylon/TiO₂ films.

Water contact angle measurement

Hydrophilicity of the UV-irradiated polymer films was examined by measuring water contact angle to the polymer films (*Figure* 3 and 4). Both PET and nylon films had similar water contact angles irrespective of TiO₂ particle addition. When UV light was irradiated on the films, both unfilled polymer films showed an increased hydrophilicity due to surface photo-oxidation. Also same phenomenon was observed in both TiO₂-containing films with more pronounced effect particularly at lower UV energy level indicating synergistic contribution of TiO₂ particles to the hydophilicity. However extent of hydrophilicity increase was more noticeable in the PET/TiO₂ films compared with Nylon/TiO₂ films. The lower water contact angles of the Nylon/TiO₂ films may be resulted from lower photooxidation in the TiO₂-containing Nylon films compared with PET/TiO₂ films as seen in the reflectance decrease.



Figure 3 Water contact angles of UV-irradiated PET and PET/TiO₂ films.



Figure 4 Water contact angles of UV-irradiated Nylon and Nylon/TiO₂ films.

Photobleaching of methylene blue solution

The photocatalytic activity of polymer film can be assessed by observing color change of methylene blue solution which has high color fastness to light. The blue color became weaker upon UV irradiation due to photodegradation of the dyes and color difference (ΔE) increased accordingly due to higher change in lightness (ΔL^*) and color (Δa^* , Δb^*). Unsurprisingly the photobleaching effect of TiO₂-containing PET film was better than that of PET films alone because of excellent photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ as shown in *Figure* 5.



Figure 5 Color difference (ΔE) of UV-irradiated PET and PET/TiO₂ films.

Conclusion

Photostability of PET and nylon films to TiO_2 was assessed with UV/VIS light reflectance and the Nylon was found to be more susceptible to the photodegradation than PET. While the PET and nylon film themselves became more hydrophilic on UV irradiation alone, the incorporation of TiO_2 into the films enhance the hydrophilicity increase of both films due to synergistic photooxidation of UV and TiO_2 , producing photooxidated products such as carboxy acid and hydroxyl groups on the film surface, coupled with more facile water adsorption of hydroxylated Ti^{3+} on the surface of TiO_2 particles. And the photobleaching of the TiO_2 containing polymer films was better than the polymer film itself.

References

- 1. O. Carp, C.L. Huisman, and A. Reller, *Progress in Solid State Chemistry*, 2004, 32, 33-177.
- 2. R. E. Marinangeli and D. F. Ollis, AICHE Journal, 1977, 23, 415-425.
- 3. U. Gesenhues, Polym Degrad Stabil, 2000, 68, 185-196.
- 4. C. H. He and J. Gong, Polym Degrad Stabil, 2003, 81, 117-124.
- 5. W. A. Zeltner, X. Fu, and M. A. Anderson, Appl Catal B Environ, 1995, 6(3), 209-224.
- 6. K. Sunada, Y. Kikuchi, K. Hashimoto, and A. Fujishima, Envir Sci & Tech, 1998, 32(5), 726-728.
- M. Strobel, M. J. Walzak, J. M. Hill, A. Lin, E. Karbashewski, and C. S. Lyons, *J. Adhesion Sci. Technol.*, 1995, 9, 365-383.
- 8. R. Wang, K. Hashmoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikkuni, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi and T. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, **1998**, 10(2), 135-138.
- 9. M. A. Fox and M. T. Dulay, *Chem. Rev.*, **1993**, 93, 341-357.
- 10. N. Sakai. R. Wang, A. Fujishima, T. Watanabe and K. Hashmoto, Langmuir, 1998, 14, 5918-5920.